

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,021	12/16/2003	Michael D. Geren	IS01378ESG	4496	
7590 01/10/2005			EXAMINER		
Motorola Energy Systems Group 1700 Belle Meade Court			TIBBITS, PIA FLORENCE		
Lawrenceville,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2838		
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany			10/737,021	GEREN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
			Pia F Tibbits	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n	_•	•				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the d	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 Ù.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0483	4) Interview Summary					
3) Inform Paper	5) Notice of the court Detail A collection (DTD 450)							

Application/Control Number: 10/737,021 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending application no. 10/811665.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both describe a battery protection circuit, comprising one rechargeable cell; a safety circuit coupled to the at least one rechargeable cell, the safety circuit comprising a voltage monitoring circuit and a current monitoring circuit; one disconnect element coupled serially with the at least one rechargeable cell; a charge monitoring circuit; and a circuit for simulating an overcurrent condition within the safety circuit when the charge monitoring circuit determines that a rechargeable cell parameter selected from the group consisting of power, voltage, temperature, pressure and energy exceeds a predetermined threshold.

Art Unit: 2838

With regard to the instant application reciting "a power monitoring circuit" and application no.10/811665 reciting "a charge monitoring circuit", one skilled in the art would be able to calculate the power/energy the battery is able to deliver without undue experimentation, since the power of the battery is derived from the charge of the battery.

With regard to the instant application reciting "the power... exceeds a predetermined threshold" and application no.10/811665 reciting "a rechargeable cell parameter selected from the group consisting of power, voltage, temperature, pressure and energy exceeds a predetermined threshold"": eliminating voltage, temperature, and pressure monitoring, cited in the 10/811665 application, applicant neither extends the life of the batteries being charged, nor makes it easier to fully charge a battery. Therefore it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that the elimination of an element and its function in a combination is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same functions as before. See *In Re Karlson*, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963), *In Re Wilson*, 153 USPQ 740 (CCPA 1967), and *Ex Parte Rainu*, 168 USPQ 375 (PTO Bd. of App. 1969).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related apparatus: **Johnson et al.** [5164652] discloses equipment (for example, a portable radiotelephone) that may "sink" **power from a battery and deplete the battery charge. Goedken et al.** [5185566] discloses a method and apparatus detecting the **state of charge of a battery as a power** source. **Oglesbee** [5767657] discloses an apparatus regulating **power to a battery** according **to** a preselected **charge**.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Pia Tibbits whose telephone number is (571) 272-2086. If unavailable, contact

Application/Control Number: 10/737,021

Art Unit: 2838

the Supervisory Patent Examiner Mike Sherry whose telephone number is (571) 272-2084. The Technology Center Fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PFT

January 5, 2005

Pia Tibbits

Page 4

Primary Patent Examiner